HMG CoA Reduction in Patients with Average Cholesterol Concentrations
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In 1984, a National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute trial demonstrated that reducing LDL cholesterol (LDL-C)3 with a combination of diet and large doses of the cholesterol-binding resin cholestyramine reduced coronary events (1) and slowed the progression of coronary artery obstruction (2) in men with increased cholesterol concentrations. Resins were not well tolerated, however, and patient compliance was poor. Subsequently, the availability of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HmG CoA) reductase inhibitors changed the approach to cholesterol management, because these drugs were well tolerated and caused marked reductions in total cholesterol (TC) and LDL-C in the majority of individuals.

Two important clinical-outcome trials were launched promptly for patients with hypercholesterolemia. The Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (3) enrolled patients with coronary artery disease and hypercholesterolemia [average TC, 261 mg/dL (6.6 mmol/L); average LDL-C, 188 mg/dL (4.87 mmol/L)], and the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (4) used pravastatin in men without clinical coronary disease [average TC, 272 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L); average LDL-C, 192 mg/dL (5.0 mmol/L)].

On the basis of the earlier findings (1, 2), we assumed that these trials would show clinical benefit; however, patients with the high concentrations of circulating TC and LDL-C in these trials constituted only a minority of the patients with coronary artery disease. It was not clear whether reducing TC and LDL-C in patients with established coronary artery disease but with concentrations similar to those in the general population would also produce clinical benefit. We focused our attention on this group because a majority of patients with coronary artery disease have TC and LDL-C concentrations that are similar to those in the general population.

While the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study and the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study were still early in their recruitment phase, we developed the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) trial, an investigator-initiated, randomized clinical trial supported by Squibb (now Bristol-Myers Squibb). Between 1989 and 1991, we enrolled 4159 patients who had experienced a myocardial infarction with an average TC concentration of 209 mg/dL (5.4 mmol/L) and an average LDL-C of 139 mg/dL (3.6 mmol/L) (concentrations similar to those of the adult population in the US at the time) to receive placebo or 40 mg pravastatin daily. After 5 years, we found that the frequency of occurrence of the primary end point (fatal coronary event or nonfatal myocardial infarction) was reduced significantly, by 24%, from 13.2% in the placebo-treated patients to 10.2% (P = 0.003). The frequency of stroke was also reduced significantly (31%) (5), as was the need for coronary revascularization (27%). Benefit was observed in important subgroups: diabetics, older patients (>65 years) (6), women, patients with left ventricular dysfunction, and those whose TC, LDL-C, and HDL cholesterol values were above or below the median values.

The report providing results of the CARE trial has been cited frequently and has contributed importantly to a change in the guidelines for cholesterol management (7). Consequently, the trial expanded greatly the number of coronary artery disease patients with TC and LDL-C concentrations similar to those in the general population who were treated with HmG CoA reductase inhibitors.

We made 3 additional interesting observations in the CARE trial: (a) The concentration of an inflammatory biomarker, C-reactive protein (CRP), was higher in the patients who developed a primary end point than in those who did not (8); (b) the magnitude of the risk reduction associated with pravastatin was significantly
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greater among patients with increased CRP concentrations (8); and (c) pravastatin significantly reduced CRP, independently of the change in LDL-C (9). These observations provided the first evidence that statins were more effective among patients with underlying vascular inflammation and that they might have clinically relevant anti-inflammatory effects. These observations from the CARE trial provided much of the basis for the JUPITER (Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin) trial, which demonstrated that statin therapy is highly effective in the primary prevention of cardiovascular events among individuals with increased CRP (>2 mg/L), even when LDL-C concentrations are in the low-to-normal range [<130 mg/dL (<3.37 mmol/L)] (10).

Since the publication of the CARE trial results, the average concentrations of TC and LDL-C have declined, both in the general population and in patients with coronary artery disease. In patients who had experienced an acute coronary event, in a trial called PROVE-IT–TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22), we observed that more-intensive lowering of the LDL-C concentration with a high dose of a very potent HmG CoA reductase inhibitor (80 mg/day atorvastatin) to an average LDL-C of 62 mg/dL (1.6 mmol/L) led to significantly better clinical outcomes than those achieved by 40 mg pravastatin daily [95 mg/dL (2.5 mmol/L)], the regimen that had been found in the CARE trial to be superior to placebo (11). That result led to the conclusion of “the lower the better” for LDL-C after an acute coronary event.
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