

## References

1. Johnson-Davis KL. Opiate and benzodiazepines confirmations: to hydrolyze or not to hydrolyze is the question. *J Appl Lab Med* 2018;2:564-72.
2. McMillin GA. Drug detection in urine for evaluating exposure—no limits! *J Appl Lab*

*Med* 2018;2:648-52.

3. Starrels JL, Fox AD, Kunins HV, Cunningham CO. They don't know what they don't know: internal medicine residents' knowledge and confidence in urine drug test interpretation for patients with chronic pain. *J Gen Intern Med* 2012;27:1521-7.

## Commentary

Deborah French\*

As the opioid crisis has shown, this drug class is one of the most frequently prescribed and abused worldwide. This case highlights a common question that clinical laboratories offering pain management testing receive: "My patient is being prescribed X, and the urine is positive for Y and/or negative for X. Do these results make sense?" The opiate and opioid metabolism pathways are notoriously complex, and interpretation of testing results is further complicated by the presence of impurities of other opioid medications in prescription pills. As the authors of this case demonstrate, consultation with the laboratory is an invaluable clinician resource in such cases, as is the availability of quantitative confirmation testing including drug metabolites or testing with alternative methodologies. The case also highlights that with the advent of more and more sensitive technology, the need for awareness of potential impurities in prescription pills may become more of an issue. If urine toxicology results do not make sense, preanalytical errors such as sample mix-up could

also be suspected, as could patient diversion, substitution, or a lack of compliance. Further, as a number of opioid medications are metabolized by polymorphic enzymes such as the cytochrome P450s, individual patient metabolism and genetic testing for these polymorphisms may also play a role in the elucidation of whether urine toxicology test results match what should be expected given what is being prescribed.

**Author Contributions:** *All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 4 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; (c) final approval of the published article; and (d) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the article thus ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the article are appropriately investigated and resolved.*

**Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest:** *Upon manuscript submission, all authors completed the author disclosure form. Disclosures and/or potential conflicts of interest:*

**Employment or Leadership:** D. French, *JALM*, AACC.

**Consultant or Advisory Role:** None declared.

**Stock Ownership:** None declared.

**Honoraria:** None declared.

**Research Funding:** None declared.

**Expert Testimony:** None declared.

**Patents:** None declared.

Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA.

\* Address correspondence to the author at: UCSF Clinical Laboratories, 185 Berry Street, Suite 290, San Francisco, CA 94107. Fax 415-353-1178; e-mail [deborah.french@ucsf.edu](mailto:deborah.french@ucsf.edu).

Received October 8, 2018; accepted October 16, 2018.

DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2018.297366

© 2018 American Association for Clinical Chemistry