Pitfalls in the Measurement of Plasma Osmolality Pertinent to Research in Vasopressin and Water Metabolism
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The reliability of measurements of plasma osmolality is known to be biased by technical artifacts, such as the anticoagulant and the osmometric technique used; the resulting measurement errors therefore may cause errors in interpretation of data. In assessing the potential biasing influence of procedural variables, we found that the temperature at which fresh plasma samples were stored, the duration of storage, and the freezing and thawing of samples appeared to significantly \( P < 0.01 \) affect osmolality values around the narrow physiological range. These factors should be considered in the interpretation of studies on the osmoregulation of vasopressin secretion. In particular, the results suggest that data obtained for any but fresh samples, whether frozen–thawed samples or samples stored at room temperature, are unreliable.
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Although freezing-point determinations of plasma have been performed since the turn of the century (1), the problem of precisely measuring plasma osmolality has not been studied extensively. Because a small change in osmolality of \(~ 12 \text{ mmol} (285–297 \text{ mmol/kg})\) may cover a 400–800% change in the plasma concentration of vasopressin (VP) (2, 3), a reliable measurement of osmolality is of crucial importance in research in which the concentrations of VP are related to osmolality. Robertson (2) stresses the importance of taking great care in measuring osmolality: freezing the sample or using less-precise methods such as vapor-pressure osmometry can lead to erroneous results. In addition, Bevilacqua et al. (4) warn against artifacts caused by using serum instead of plasma, and of variations caused by poor calibration of the osmometer. Morton et al. (5) reported that sodium heparin biased the measurement of plasma sodium, but not that of plasma osmolality. Redetzki et al. (6), who found unspecific increases in osmolality when whole-blood samples were stored for 1–4 h at room temperature before serum was separated, demonstrated that this increase was related to the production of lactic acid by the persisting glycolytic activity of blood cells.

However, no experiments have been done so far that investigate the influence of storage time and temperature on osmolality of plasma samples. In this study, therefore, we examined variables that might influence the measurements, such as storage time, temperature, and analysis of fresh samples vs frozen and thawed samples.

Materials and Methods

Venous blood was collected in evacuated plastic lithium-heparin-containing tubes (lithium-heparin 143 USP units in 10 mL tube; Greiner®, Austria) and centrifuged for 10 min at 1000 \( \times \) g. Plasma was separated without delay. Osmolality was measured by freezing-point depression. The glassware of the sensor of the osmometer (Osmomat 003; Gonotec Gesellschaft für Mess- und Regeltechnik GmbH, D-100 Berlin 62, Germany) was repeatedly rinsed with doubly distilled water and dried with fiber-free tissue. The osmometer was calibrated with 150 mmol/L NaCl (300 mmol/kg H₂O) and doubly distilled water (0 mmol/kg H₂O) for every series of determinations. The long-term (up to one month) variation of a calibrated standard was <0.5%. The vials (Gonotec) containing the NaCl standard were opened, 50-\( \mu \text{L} \) samples were removed, and the vials were discarded. Calibrations were done in triplicate by assaying the standard NaCl samples before each series of measurements; the plasma samples were also measured in triplicate. The median value was taken as the final value because of the ordinal scale of the readings. When the intrasample deviation within the three separate measurements exceeded 3 mmol/kg H₂O, the sample was measured five times and the median value was used.

The effect of the temperature of fresh plasma samples and the duration of storage on osmolality values were assessed as follows: Venous blood was withdrawn from eight healthy volunteers (10 mL each), and the blood from each individual was divided into two portions. One portion was centrifuged and stored in plastic tubes at 4 °C; the other was centrifuged and stored at ambient temperature (21–24 °C). Osmolality was determined in 50-\( \mu \text{L} \) portions of each sample at 0, 3, 6, and 24 h after plasma separation. The maximal time between blood sampling and the end of centrifugation was 30 min.

The effect of freezing–thawing of samples was as-
essed with plasma obtained from 28 neurological patients, whose conditions could not be expected to alter osmolality pathologically. Their plasma samples were divided into two portions: one portion was assayed immediately after plasma separation, the other after freezing–thawing (after 1–6 months of storage at −20 °C). All sample tubes were tightly stoppered, and all samples were well homogenized after thawing. The frozen samples were not centrifuged after thawing and mixing; however, we saw no evidence of clotting or particulate material after the mixing.

The data were analyzed with standard statistical tests, such as the Pearson correlation test and repeated-measures analysis of variance with contrasts (7), P <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The intra-assay CV was 1.18% for repeated measurements of a plasma sample within the same assay (n = 28). When each sample was measured in triplicate within the same assay, the intra-assay CV of the median value was 0.18% (n = 28). The interassay CV for plasma samples was not assessed because of the possible effects of storage. The interassay CV of the 150 mmol/L NaCl standards was 0.31% for the median values obtained from triplicate measurements (n = 36).

The effect of the temperature of fresh plasma samples and the duration of storage on osmolality values is presented in Figure 1. Analysis of variance with repeated measures for the factor “time” (0, 3, 6, and 24 h) indicated a significant overall time effect for the samples stored at ambient temperature ($F_{[1,5]} = 29.47, P <0.01$) and for the samples stored at 4 °C ($F_{[1,6]} = 7.79, P <0.05$). Analysis of variance of contrast variables indicated significantly different contrasts between the osmolality of samples stored for 0 vs 3, 6, and 24 h: $F_{[1,7]} = 42.48, P <0.001$; $F_{[1,7]} = 122.3, P <0.001$; and $F_{[1,7]} = 29.87, P <0.001$, respectively. For samples stored at 4 °C, the difference was significant only between the osmolality of samples stored for 0 and 3 h: $F_{[1,7]} = 22.4, P <0.01$ (see Figure 1). The Pearson correlation coefficient between the osmolalities of samples measured immediately at ambient and low temperatures (4 °C) was 0.85 ($P <0.01$).

Comparison of the values from fresh and frozen–thawed samples revealed great discrepancies, with differences ranging from −14.0 to 14.0 mmol/kg. The mean difference was only 0.04 mmol/kg, but the standard deviation was very high (6.2 mmol/kg). In particular, the osmolality value for 11 of the 28 frozen–thawed samples deviated ≥4-14 mmol from the corresponding values of freshly measured samples. In contrast, the standard deviation of the mean difference between fresh samples measured in duplicate was strikingly lower (1.3 mmol/kg) and was confined to a small range: −3.0 to 3.0 mmol/kg. The Pearson correlation coefficient between osmolalities measured for fresh vs frozen–thawed samples was not statistically significant ($r = 0.29; P >0.05$).

Discussion

In healthy, well-hydrated individuals, plasma osmolality and sodium rarely deviate by >1–2% from the basal values of ~287 mmol/kg and 140 mmol/L, respectively. Above a threshold of ~287 mmol/kg (2, 3), plasma VP concentrations increase very steeply in direct proportion to plasma osmolality. The sensitivity of the mechanism is such that changes in osmolality of only 1% (~2.9 mmol/kg H2O) are sufficient to alter VP by 1 ng/L, i.e., by ~100% or more of its basal values (3, 4, 8); this variation may have marked effects on urine concentrations. Therefore, it is essential to achieve an intra-assay CV for osmolality of <0.33–0.40% (i.e., ~1 mmol/kg). Triplicate measurements increased the reliability of the measured osmolality values within the same assay (intra-assay CV <0.2%, i.e., <1 mmol/kg), whereas intra-assay CV with single measurements was 1.2%, well above the optimal CV of 0.33–0.40%.

Storing the sample for 3 h at ambient temperature or at 4 °C induces a mean decrease in osmolality of ~3 and 2 mmol/kg, respectively, with individual differences of up to 5 mmol/kg. Surprisingly, the osmolality of samples stored at 4 °C for 6 and 24 h did not deviate from control values (fresh samples measured immediately) by more than the osmolality of samples stored for 3 h. Perhaps the appearance of microaggregates in stored plasma contributes to the observed stabilization in osmolality (4); alternatively, the initial decrease in plasma osmolality may be caused by changes in the pH, CO2 concentration of lactic acid, or the binding of electrolytes to protein (9, 10).

Osmolality values obtained for frozen–thawed samples were not significantly correlated with those for fresh samples measured immediately, with absolute individual differences deviating by as much as ±14 mmol. We found that results for frozen–thawed samples had to be considered unreliable in nearly 50% of the samples we assayed. Therefore, one can question whether plasma after freezing and thawing is still real plasma.

In summary, it is necessary to measure fresh plasma samples in triplicate immediately after plasma separa-
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The urinary lutropin (luteinizing hormone; LH) profiles of 20 patients were determined in 10 consecutive urine samples (n = 200) with a manual technique (MAIAclone, Serono; y) and a fully automated (Abbott IMx; x) technique. For 132 single determinations within the range of detection, the regression line was \( y = 1.39x - 0.07 \) (r = 0.85). Retrospective analysis of the LH profiles obtained by the IMx yielded the classification "not pregnant" (negative) in 14 cases, of which 13 were correctly classified; 6 profiles were classified as "pregnant" (positive), which correlated with the clinical findings in 4 cases. Nine of the LH profiles analyzed with the MAIAclone method were classified as negative, which was correct in seven cases. Retrospective analysis of 11 LH profiles established with the MAIAclone assay gave positive results, which agreed with the clinical outcome in three cases. The diagnostic sensitivity of the IMx and MAIAclone methods was 87% and 47%, respectively; diagnostic specificity was 80% and 60%, respectively. In smaller series (<40 samples), the IMx method has considerable advantages over the MAIAclone method in cost and convenience, because standard curves can be stored and assays can be performed as single determinations.
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